



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/981,320	10	0/16/2001	Kenneth H. Abbott	294438006US11	4975
25096	7590	11/17/2004	•	EXAM	INER
PERKINS (COIE LLF)	PILLAI, NAMITHA		
PATENT-SE	Α			<u>-</u>	
P.O. BOX 1247				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247				2173	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/981,320	ABBOTT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Namitha Pillai	2173					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . I reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-70</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-70 is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/6/03, 11/29/02</u> . •	6) Other:						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 5					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 9 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: these claims contain the acronym "UI" which has not been clearly defined. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 14 recites the broad recitation "monitoring the user *or* surrounding environment", and the claim also recites "monitoring the user *and* surrounding environment" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

3. Claims 1-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,910,799 (Carpenter et al.), herein referred to as Carpenter.

Referring to claim 1, Carpenter discloses a method for dynamically determining an appropriate user interface to be presented to a user of a computing device based on a current context (column 2, lines 20-25). Carpenter also discloses for each multiple predefined user interfaces, characterizing multiple properties of the predefined user interface (column 2, lines 50-55). Carpenter also discloses dynamically determining one or more current needs for a user interface to be presented to the user (column 2, lines 55-60). Carpenter discloses selecting for presentation to the user one of the predefined user interfaces whose characterized properties correspond to the dynamically determined current needs (column 2, lines 49-59).

Referring to claims 2 and 28, Carpenter discloses presenting the selected predefined user interface to the user (column 3, lines 61-67).

Referring to claim 3, Carpenter discloses that the computing device is a wearable personal computer (column 4, lines 61-67).

Referring to claim 4, Carpenter discloses that the current context is represented by a plurality of context attributes that each model an aspect of the context (column 3, lines 64-67).

Referring to claim 5, Carpenter discloses that the current context is a context of the user (column 5, lines 8-11).

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claim 6, Carpenter discloses that the selecting is performed at execution time (Figure 3).

Referring to claims 7, 8 and 29, Carpenter discloses that the dynamic determining and the selecting are performed repeatedly so that the user interface that is presented to the user is optimal and appropriate to the current needs (column 6, lines 39-46).

Referring to claims 9 and 10, Carpenter discloses that determining of the current needs includes characterizing UI needs corresponding to a current task being performed, characterizing UI needs corresponding to a current situation of the user, and characterizing UI needs corresponding to current I/0 devices that are available (column 8, lines 60-67).

Referring to claim 11, Carpenter discloses determining of the current needs includes characterizing a current cognitive availability of the user and identifying the current needs based at least in part on the characterized current cognitive availability (column 8, lines 60-67).

Referring to claims 12, 30, 47, 50, 53, 56, 60, 64, 67 and 70, Carpenter discloses that the determining and the selecting are performed without user intervention (column 3, lines 51-55).

Referring to claim 13, Carpenter discloses that the selected user interface includes information to be presented to the user and interaction controls that can be manipulated by the user (column 9, lines 6-21).

Referring to claim 14, Carpenter discloses monitoring the user and/or a surrounding environment of the user in order to produce information about the current context (column 8, lines 60-67).

Referring to claim 15, Carpenter discloses that the determined current needs are based at least in part on the current context (column 8, lines 60-67).

Page 5

Referring to claim 16, Carpenter discloses customizing the selected user interface based on the user before presenting of the customized user interface to the user (column 8, lines 59-63).

Referring to claim 17, Carpenter discloses adapting the selected user interface to a type of the computing device before presenting of the adapted user interface to the user (column 4, lines 20-35).

Referring to claim 18, Carpenter discloses adapting the selected user interface to a current activity of the user before presenting of the adapted user interface to the user (column 8, lines 60-65).

Referring to claim 19, Carpenter discloses that the determining of the current needs is based at least in part on the user being mobile (column 8, lines 60-65).

Referring to claim 20, Carpenter discloses a method for dynamically determining an appropriate user interface to be presented to a user of a computing device based on a current context (column 2, lines 20-25). Carpenter also discloses for each multiple predefined user interfaces, characterizing multiple properties of the predefined user interface (column 2, lines 50-55). Carpenter also discloses dynamically determining one or more current needs for a user interface to be presented to the user (column 2, lines 55-60). Carpenter discloses selecting for presentation to the user one of the predefined user interfaces whose characterized properties correspond to the dynamically determined current needs (column 2, lines 49-59). Carpenter discloses presenting the selected user interface to the user (column 3, lines 61-67).

Referring to claim 21, Carpenter discloses that the computer-readable medium is a memory of a computing device (column 3, lines 44-49).

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claim 22, Carpenter discloses that the computer-readable medium is a data transmission medium transmitting a generated data signal containing the contents (Figure 1).

Referring to claim 23, Carpenter discloses that the contents are instructions that when executed cause the computing device to perform the method (column 3, lines 44-47).

Referring to claims 24 and 26, Carpenter discloses a computing device for dynamically determining an appropriate user interface to be presented to a user of a computing device (column 2, lines 20-23). Carpenter discloses a first component capable of, for each of multiple defined user interfaces, characterizing properties of the defined user interface (column 2, lines 49-59). Carpenter also discloses a second component capable of determining during execution one or more current needs for a user interface to be presented to the user (column 3, lines 60-67). Carpenter also discloses a third component capable of selecting during execution one of the defined user interfaces whose characterized properties correspond to the dynamically determined current needs, the selected user interface for presentation to the user (column 3, lines 60-67).

Referring to claim 25, Carpenter discloses that the first, second and third components are executing in memory of the computing device (column 3, lines 44-47).

Referring to claim 27, Carpenter discloses a method for dynamically determining an appropriate user interface to be presented to a user of a computing device based on a current context (column 2, lines 20-23). Carpenter also discloses determining multiple user interface elements that are available for presentation on the computing device (column 2, lines 49-55). Carpenter also discloses characterizing properties of the determined user interface elements and dynamically determining one or more current needs for a user interface to be presented to the user (column 2, lines 49-59). Carpenter also discloses generating a user interface for

lines 61-67).

presentation to the user, the generated user interface having user interface elements whose characterized properties correspond to the dynamically determined current needs (column 3,

Referring to claim 31, Carpenter discloses retrieving one or more definitions for combining available user interface elements in an appropriate manner so as to satisfy current needs, and wherein the generating of the user interface uses at least one of the retrieved definitions to combine the user interface elements of the generated user interface in a manner that is appropriate to the determined current needs (column 9, lines 6-22).

Referring to claim 32, Carpenter discloses retrieving one or more definitions for adapting available user interface elements to a type of computing device, and wherein the generating of the user interface uses at least one of the retrieved definitions to combine the user interface elements of the generated user interface in a manner specific to the type of the computing device (column 9, lines 10-13).

Referring to claim 33, Carpenter discloses a method for dynamically presenting an appropriate user interface to a user of a computing device based on a current context (column 2, lines 20-23). Carpenter discloses presenting a first user interface to the user and without user intervention, determining that the current context has changed in such a manner that the first user interface is not appropriate for the user (column 8, lines 59-65). Carpenter discloses selecting a second user interface that is appropriate for the user based at least in part on the current context, and presenting the second user interface to the user (column 8, lines 63-67).

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claim 34, Carpenter discloses determining that the current context has changed in such a manner that the first user interface is not appropriate for the user includes automatically detecting the changes (column 8, lines 60-67).

Referring to claim 35, Carpenter discloses selecting of the second user interface is performed without user intervention (column 8, lines 63-68).

Referring to claim 36, Carpenter discloses that the second user interface is one of multiple predefined user interfaces (column 9, lines 5-10).

Referring to claim 37, Carpenter discloses that the second user interface is dynamically generated after the determining of the changes in the current context (column 8, lines 59-67).

Referring to claim 38, Carpenter discloses that the second interface is a modification of the first user interface (column 9, lines 5-10).

Referring to claim 39, Carpenter discloses modifying of the first user interface ("UI") includes modifying prominence of one or more UI elements of the first user interface, modifying associations between the UI elements, modifying a metaphor associated with the first user interface, modifying a sensory analogy associated with the first user interface, modifying a degree of background awareness associated with the first user interface, modifying a degree of invitation associated with the first user interface, and/or modifying a degree of safety of the user based on one or more indications presented as part of the second user interface that were not part of the first user interface (column 9, lines 5-20).

Referring to claim 40, Carpenter discloses a method for characterizing predefined user interfaces to allow a user interface that is currently appropriate to be presented to a user of a computing device to be dynamically selected (column 4, lines 50-60). Carpenter discloses for

Art Unit: 2173

each of multiple predefined user interfaces, characterizing the user interface by, determining an intended use of the predefined user interface, determining one or more user tasks with which the predefined user interface is compatible, and determining one or more computing device configurations with which the predefined user interface is compatible, so that one of the predefined user interfaces can be dynamically selected for presentation to a user based on the selected user interface being currently appropriate (column 9, lines 1-22).

Referring to claim 41, Carpenter discloses determining information about a current context and selecting one of the predefined user interfaces that is appropriate for the current context (column 9, lines 1-20).

Referring to claim 42, Carpenter discloses characterizing of each of the predefined user interfaces includes at least one of characterizing content of the user interface, characterizing a cost of using the user interface, characterizing a relevant date for the user interface, characterizing a design of elements of the user interface, characterizing functions of the elements of the user interface, characterizing hardware affinity of the user interface, characterizing an identification of the user interface, characterizing an importance of the user interface, characterizing input and output devices that are compatible with the user interface, characterizing languages to which the user interface corresponds, characterizing a learning profile of the user interface, characterizing task lengths for which the user interface is compatible, characterizing a name of the user interface, characterizing physical availability of the user interface, characterizing a priority of the user interface,

Art Unit: 2173

characterizing privacy supported by the user interface, characterizing processing capabilities used for the user interface, characterizing safety capabilities of the user interface, characterizing security capabilities of the user interface, characterizing a source of the user interface, characterizing storage capabilities used for the user interface, characterizing audio capabilities of the user interface, characterizing task complexities compatible with the user interface, characterizing themes corresponding to the user interface, characterizing an urgency level for the user interface, characterizing a user attention level for the user interface, characterizing user characteristics compatible with the user interface, characterizing user expertise levels compatible with the user interface, characterizing user preference accommodation capabilities of the user interface, characterizing a version of the user interface, and characterizing video capabilities of the user interface (column 8, lines 45-67 and column 9, lines 1-20).

Referring to claim 43, Carpenter discloses characterizing of each of the predefined user interfaces is performed without user intervention (column 8, lines 60-67).

Referring to claims 44, 48 and 54, Carpenter discloses a method for dynamically determining requirements for a user interface that is currently appropriate to be presented to a user of a computing device based on a current context (column 3, lines 60-67). Carpenter also discloses dynamically determining one or more current characteristics of a user interface that is currently appropriate to be presented to the user, the determining based at least in part on the current context and identifying at least some of the determined characteristics as requirements

Art Unit: 2173

for a user interface that is currently appropriate to be presented to the user (column 3, lines 60-67).

Referring to claims 45, 49, 52 and 55, Carpenter discloses determining a user interface that satisfies the determined requirements and presenting the determined user interface to the user (column 3, lines 64-67).

Referring to claim 46, Carpenter discloses that the determining of the current characteristics includes determining characteristics corresponding to a current task being performed, determining characteristics corresponding to a current situation of the user, and/or determining characteristics corresponding to current I/O devices that are available (column 3, lines 60-67).

Referring to claim 51, Carpenter discloses a method for dynamically determining requirements for a user interface that is currently appropriate to be presented to a user of a computing device (column 3, lines 60-67). Carpenter discloses dynamically determining one or more current characteristics of a user interface that is currently appropriate to be presented to the user, the determining based at least in part on a current I/O devices that are available to the computing device and identifying at least some of the determined characteristics as requirements for a user interface that is currently appropriate to be presented to the user (column 9, lines 5-20).

Referring to claim 57, Carpenter discloses a method for dynamically determining characteristics of a user interface that is currently appropriate to be presented to a user of a computing device (column 3, lines 60-67). Carpenter also discloses dynamically determining a level of attention which the user can currently give to the user interface and dynamically

Art Unit: 2173

determining one or more current characteristics of a user interface that is currently appropriate to be presented to the user based at least in part on the determined level of attention (column 8, lines 60-67 and column 9, lines 1-20).

Referring to claim 58, Carpenter discloses determining a user interface that includes the determined characteristics and presenting the determined user interface to the user (column 3, lines 60-67).

Referring to claim 59, Carpenter discloses that the determined level of attention is based on a determined current cognitive load of the user (column 8, lines 60-67 and column 9, lines 1-20).

Referring to claim 61, Carpenter discloses determining of the level of attention is performed without user intervention (column 9, lines 5-10).

Referring to claim 62, Carpenter discloses a method for determining techniques for dynamically generating an appropriate user interface to be presented to a user of a computing device (column 2, lines 20-25). Carpenter discloses retrieving one or more definitions for dynamically combining available user interface elements in an appropriate manner so as to satisfy current needs, and selecting one of the retrieved definitions based on current conditions so that available user interface elements can be combined in an appropriate manner to generate a user interface that is appropriate to be presented to the user (column 3, lines 55-67).

Referring to claim 63, Carpenter discloses using the selected definition to generate a user interface that is appropriate to be presented to the user and presenting the generated user interface to the user (column 3, lines 60-67).

Referring to claim 65, Carpenter discloses a method for determining techniques for dynamically generating an appropriate user interface to be presented to a user of a computing device (column 3, lines 60-67). Carpenter discloses retrieving one or more definitions for dynamically adapting available user interface elements to a type of computing device and selecting one of the retrieved definitions based on current conditions so that available user interface elements can be adapted to the type of the computing device so as to generate a user interface that is appropriate to be presented to the user (column 9, lines 1-20).

Referring to claim 66, Carpenter discloses using the selected definition to generate a user interface that is appropriate to be presented to the user and presenting the generated user interface to the user (column 3, lines 60-67).

Referring to claim 68, Carpenter discloses a method for dynamically determining an appropriate user interface to be presented to a user of a computing device based on a current context (column 2, lines 20-25). Carpenter also discloses determining multiple user interface elements that are available for presentation on the computing device and characterizing properties of the determined user interface elements, so that available user interface elements whose characterized properties are appropriate for a current context can be selected and combined in an appropriate manner to generate a user interface that is appropriate to be presented to the user (column 8, lines 57-67).

Referring to claim 69, Carpenter discloses combining available user interface elements whose characterized properties are appropriate for a current context in order to generate a user interface that is appropriate to be presented to the user and presenting the generated user interface to the user (column 9, lines 5-20).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach the method for displaying dynamic interfaces.

Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington D.C. 20231. If applicant desires to fax a response, central FAX number (703) 872-9306 may be used. NOTE: A Request for Continuation (Rule 60 or 62) cannot be faxed.

Please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for informal facsimile communications. For after final responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document. Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Namitha Pillai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4054. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571) 272-4048.

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly

Application/Control Number: 09/981,320 Page 15

Art Unit: 2173

set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Namitha Pillai Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2173 November 12, 2004

> RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173